Justice wasn't fully served, because Bernardo and Homolka were committed to murder for three times, the victim included Homolka's sister, which hadn't been mentioned in the evidence that Homolka mentioned. Moreover, only because Homolka had made the oral confession to her aunt and uncle, didn't mean that the evidence were adequet. There were proofs of videotapes, pointing that there were direct evidence of how Bernardo was committed to crime, but that shouldn't be a "reward" of being "honest". However, the case focus more on proving that they were alliance on killings, but not mentioning the affectes brought to the victim's family, no compensation asked for, or apologises mentioned. Even though it's reasonable asking for a plea deal, but this was far from the intention, it wasn't about finding out the criminals, it should be more focused on the effects bring to the society, and also the security problems that it had concerned.